

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,148	08/22/2003	Joseph P. Yock	RWZ/75U	8714
26875 75	590 11/23/2004		EXAMINER	
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP			HESS, BRUCE H	
2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			MW	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summers		10/646,148	YOCK, JOSEPH P.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Bruce H Hess	1774	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	r		
I HE - External after - If the control of the contr	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. He period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Cause the application to become ARANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.	
Status				
1)[2]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7-1	9-04 (election)		
		action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4€	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit				
4)[X]	claim(s) is/are pending in the application	nd 40 = 4 2		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed   17-21			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
	ion Papers	·		
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	ır		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		- - - - - -	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the co			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[] <i>i</i> a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign    All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	<ul><li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>	nave been received in Application	on No	
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	(PCT Rule 17 2(a))	d in this National Stage	
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.	
	,	,	-	
\ttachment	(a):			
) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (I	PTO-413)	
) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	te	
nitom لي <b>كل</b> ( <del>Paper-</del>	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449-or PTO/SB/98) -Ne(s)/Mail Date 12-5-03 and 2-9-04	5)  Notice of Informal Par 6) Other:	tent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/646,148

Art Unit: 1774

1. All claims (i.e., 1, 2, 4, 6-15, 17-21 and 40-45) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, (first paragraph) as being broader than the enabling disclosure.

Applicant's specification is enabled to the extent that a mixture of sublimation dye and printing are applied at the same time. Neither of these materials "overlaps" or is "in registry with" the other since both the sublimation dye and printing ink occupy the same space in the same plane. The specification does not enable the situation where one of the colorants overlaps or is in registry with the other colorant. Both of the terms "overlap' and "registry" imply covering or super-imposition.

2. Claims 1, 4, 9, 11-13, 15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Ray et al (U.S.P. 4,308,679) in view of the patent to Krutak et al (U.S.P. 6,174,400).

Ray et al teach a consumer product package having a promotional item attached thereto. The promotional item bears a heat transferable image. Krutak et al teach the advantages of employing heat transferable images, which contain both a visible dye and a material visible only under fluorescent light. The transfer thus gives a "transferable image" and a "visible image". Given the advantages (i.e., a security feature) of the Krutak et al image, use of the Krutak et al transferable image as the generically disclosed transferable image of Ray et al would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art in the absence of unexpected results. Finally, the experimental modification of this prior art in order to ascertain optimum operating conditions (e.g., determine coating thickness) fails to render applicants' claims patentable in the absence

Application/Control Number: 10/646,148

Art Unit: 1774

Page 3

of unexpected results (see Ray et al at column 2, lines 55-61 and Krutak et al at column 1, lines 65-67).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bruce Hess at telephone number (571) 272-1525.

B. Hess/dh October 26, 2004

Sure Han

SHUCE H. HESS MARY EXAMMER MOUP 1300